RAYMOND BUNT, JR. MAJORITY DEPUTY WHIP

105 MEMORIAL DRIVE AND ROUTE 29 SCHWENKSVILLE, PA 19473 PHONE: (610) 287-4181 FAX: (610) 287-4182

HOUSE POST OFFICE BOX 202020 146 MAIN CAPITOL BUILDING HARRISBURG, PA 17120-2020 FAX: (717) 772-6952

E-mail: rbunt@pahousegop.com

COMMONWEALTH OF PENNSYLVANIA **HARRISBURG** 

ORIGINAL: 2241

February 25, 2002

COMMITTEES

AGRICULTURE AND RURAL AFFAIRS. MAJORITY CHAIRMAN

JUDICIARY

LAND USE MANAGEMENT SUB-COMMITTEE

**BOARDS AND COMMISSIONS** 

MAL HEALTH & DIAGNOSTIC COMMISSION STATE AGRICULTURAL LAND PRESERVATION BOARD AGRICULTURE RESEARCH COMMITTEE

**ASSIGNMENTS** 

ALEC-ENERGY, ENVIRONMENT, NATURAL RESOURCES & AGRICULTURE PA AGRICULTURAL LAW RESOURCE REFERENCE CENTER, BOARD MEMBER DELAWARE RIVER BASIN CAUCUS LOCAL TAX REFORM CAUCUS RURAL HEALTH CARE CAUCUS PA LEGISLATIVE SPORTSMEN'S CAUCUS NATIONAL CONFERENCE OF STATE LEGISLATORS, AGRICULTURAL & INTERNATIONAL TRADE COMMITTEE MEMBER
COUNCIL OF STATE GOVERNMENTS, FEDERAL FUNDS TASK FORCE COMMITTEE MEMBER
COUNCIL OF STATE GOVERNMENTS, AGRICULTURAL

PENNSYLVANIA HISTORICAL PRESERVATION CAUCUS

Harrisburg, PA 17110 Dear Secretary Haves:

Pennsylvania Department of Agriculture

Samuel E. Hayes, Jr., Secretary

2301 North Cameron Street

Pursuant to the Regulatory Review Act of 1986, I submit the following comments on Proposed Rulemaking I.D. No. 2-135, Amendments to (Dog Law) Licensure Provisions.

You have received several letters of comment from the public and from certain interest groups. I would like to specifically call your attention to letters submitted by the Federated Humane Societies of PA (January 10, 2002) and PLAN (January 22, 2002). It is apparent from almost every commenter that adjustments to the proposed regulatory language must be made to more clearly identify the process of assigning a lifetime license number to a dog that has been, or will be identified with a microchip.

Additionally, both of these commentators expressed desire to see Section 21.4 remain within the regulations, with adjustments proposed by PLAN. While I understand that the law contains the penalty language, I see no reason not to repeat it within the regulations, especially since it appears there currently. We have learned that those who must abide by the law and regulations can do a better job if presented with complete information, even if it seems repetitive.

The comments submitted by Ms. Irwin regarding Section 21.53 have merit. Sections 205 (a) and (b) of the law do establish fees for license transfer. If no fee is proposed for recording a new residence for a lifetime license owner, then perhaps that could be stated in the regulation.

Lastly, there is some language within the Background section that precedes the proposed regulatory changes to which I would like to call your attention. There are two references to "more humane" treatment of dogs and method of identification. These references are inaccurate and infer that tattooing is inhumane. These regulations are being proposed to provide for a new method of permanent identification, period. Both tattoos and microchips are considered humane methods of identification and I would encourage you to remove any references to humane treatment of dogs when revisions are made.

Ø

Samuel E. Hayes, Jr., Secretary February 25, 2002 Page No. 2

Thank you for your consideration and I encourage you to make changes to the proposed regulation to reflect the comments as described above.

Sincerely

Raymond Bunt, Jr., Majority Chairman Agriculture and Rural Affairs Committee

cc:

Christian Herr Rick Burd David Kennedy \John Jewitt